
Chapter 7

Expressions and Assignment Statements

Chapter 7 Topics

- ❖ Introduction
- ❖ Arithmetic Expressions
- ❖ Overloaded Operators
- ❖ Type Conversions
- ❖ Relational and Boolean Expressions
- ❖ Short-Circuit Evaluation
- ❖ Assignment Statements
- ❖ Mixed-Mode Assignment

Introduction

- ❖ Expressions are the fundamental means of specifying computations in a programming language
- ❖ To understand expression evaluation, need to be familiar with the orders of operator and operand evaluation
- ❖ Essence of imperative languages is dominant role of assignment statements

Arithmetic Expressions

- ❖ **Arithmetic evaluation** was one of the motivations for the development of the first programming languages
- ❖ Arithmetic expressions consist of **operators, operands, parentheses, and function calls**

Arithmetic Expressions: Design Issues

- ❖ Design issues for arithmetic expressions
 - ⇒ Operator precedence rules?
 - ⇒ Operator associativity rules?
 - ⇒ Order of operand evaluation?
 - ⇒ Operand evaluation side effects?
 - ⇒ Operator overloading?
 - ⇒ Type mixing in expressions?

Arithmetic Expressions: Operators

- ❖ A unary operator has one operand
- ❖ A binary operator has two operands
- ❖ A ternary operator has three operands

Arithmetic Expressions

❖ Types of operators

⇒ A unary operator has one operand:

$$- x$$

⇒ A binary operator has two operands:

$$x + y$$

- Infix: operator appears between two operands
- Prefix: operator precede their operands

⇒ A ternary operator has three operands:

$$(x > 10)? 0 : 1$$

❖ Evaluation Order

⇒ Operator evaluation order

⇒ Operand evaluation order

Operator Evaluation Order

- ❖ Four rules to specify order of evaluation for operators
 - ⇒ Operator precedence rules
 - Define the order in which the operators of different precedence levels are evaluated (e.g., + vs *)
 - ⇒ Operator associativity rules
 - Define the order in which adjacent operators with the same precedence level are evaluated (e.g., left/right associative)
 - ⇒ Parentheses
 - Precedence and associativity rules can be overridden with parentheses
 - ⇒ Conditional Expressions (?: operator in C/C++/Perl)
 - Equivalent to if-then-else statement

Arithmetic Expressions: Operator Precedence Rules

- ❖ The *operator precedence rules* for expression evaluation define the order in which “adjacent” operators of different precedence levels are evaluated
- ❖ Typical precedence levels
 - ⇒ parentheses
 - ⇒ unary operators
 - ⇒ ** (if the language supports it)
 - ⇒ *, /
 - ⇒ +, -

Arithmetic Expressions: Operator Associativity Rule

- ❖ The *operator associativity rules* for expression evaluation define the order in which adjacent operators with the same precedence level are evaluated
- ❖ Typical associativity rules
 - ⇒ Left to right, except **, which is right to left
 - ⇒ Sometimes unary operators associate right to left (e.g., in FORTRAN)
- ❖ APL is different; all operators have equal precedence and all operators associate right to left
- ❖ Precedence and associativity rules can be overridden with parentheses

Expressions in Ruby and Scheme

❖ Ruby

- ⇒ All arithmetic, relational, and assignment operators, as well as array indexing, shifts, and bit-wise logic operators, are implemented as methods
- One result of this is that these **operators can all be overridden** by application programs

❖ Scheme (and Common Lisp)

- All arithmetic and logic operations are by explicitly called subprograms
- $a + b * c$ is coded as `(+ a (* b c))`

Arithmetic Expressions: Conditional Expressions

❖ Conditional Expressions

⇒ C-based languages (e.g., C, C++)

⇒ An example:

```
average = (count == 0) ? 0 : sum / count
```

⇒ Evaluates as if written as follows:

```
if (count == 0)
    average = 0
else
    average = sum / count
```

Arithmetic Expressions: Operand Evaluation Order

❖ *Operand evaluation order*

1. Variables: **fetch the value** from memory
2. Constants: sometimes **a fetch from memory**; sometimes the **constant is in the machine language instruction**
3. **Parenthesized expressions**: evaluate all operands and operators first
4. The most interesting case is when an operand is **a function call**

Arithmetic Expressions: Potentials for Side Effects

❑ *Functional side effects*: when a function changes a two-way parameter or a non-local variable

❑ Problem with functional side effects:

⇒ When a function referenced in an expression **alters another operand** of the expression; e.g., for a parameter change:

```
a = 10;
```

```
/* assume that fun changes its parameter */
```

```
b = a + fun(&a);
```

- If fun does not have the side effect of **changing a**, then the order evaluation of the two operands, **a and fun(a)**, does not matter
- If fun does have the side effect **of changing a**, **order of evaluation matters**

Functional Side Effects

- ❖ Two possible solutions to the problem
 1. Write the language definition to disallow functional side effects
 - No two-way parameters in functions
 - No non-local references in functions
 - **Advantage:** it works!
 - **Disadvantage:** inflexibility of one-way parameters and lack of non-local references
 2. Write the language definition to demand that operand evaluation order be fixed
 - **Disadvantage:** limits some compiler optimizations
 - Java requires that operands appear to be evaluated in left-to-right order

Referential Transparency

- A program has the property of *referential transparency* if any two expressions in the program that **have the same value** can be **substituted for one another** anywhere in the program, without affecting the action of the program

```
result1 = (fun(a) + b) / (fun(a) - c);
```

```
temp = fun(a);
```

```
result2 = (temp + b) / (temp - c);
```

- If fun has no side effects, result1 = result2
Otherwise, not, and referential transparency is violated

Referential Transparency (continued)

- ❖ Advantage of referential transparency
 - ⇒ Semantics of a program is **much easier to understand** if it has referential transparency
- ❖ Because they do not have variables, programs in **pure functional languages** are referentially transparent
 - ⇒ Functions cannot have state, which would be stored in local variables
 - ⇒ If a function uses an outside value, it must be a constant (there are no variables). So, the value of **a function depends only on its parameters**

Overloaded Operators

- ❖ Use of an operator for more than one purpose is called *operator overloading*
- ❖ Some are common
 - ⇒ E.g., use + for
 - integer addition and
 - floating-point addition,
 - concatenation
- ❖ C, C++, F#, Python and Ada allow user-defined overloaded operators
 - ⇒ When sensibly used, such operators can be an aid to readability (avoid method calls, expressions appear natural)

Overloaded Operators

❖ Some drawbacks of operator overloading

- ⇒ Users can define nonsense operations
- ⇒ May affect readability
 - E.g., the ampersand (&) operator in C is used to specify
 - bitwise logical AND operation
 - Address of a variable
- ⇒ May affect reliability
 - Program does not behave the way we want
 - `int x, y; float z; z = x / y`
 - Problem can be avoided by introducing new symbols
(e.g., Pascal's `div` for integer division and `/` for floating point division)
- ⇒ Loss of compiler error detection (omission of an operand should be a detectable error)

Type Conversions

- ❖ A *narrowing conversion* is one that converts an object to a type that cannot include all of the values of the original type
e.g., **float to int**
- ❖ A *widening conversion* is one in which an object is converted to a type that can include at least approximations to all of the values of the original type
e.g., **int to float**

Type Conversions: Mixed Mode

- ❖ A *mixed-mode expression* is one that has operands of different types
- ❖ A *coercion* is an implicit type conversion. It is useful for **mixed-mode expression**, which contains operands of different types
- ❖ Disadvantage of coercions:
 - ⇒ They decrease in the type error detection ability of the compiler
- ❖ In most languages, all numeric types are coerced in expressions, using widening conversions
- ❖ In ML and F#, there are no coercions in expressions

Explicit Type Conversions

❖ Called *casting* in C-based languages

❖ Examples

⇒ C: `(int) angle`

⇒ F#: `float (sum)`

⇒ Ada : `Float (Index)`

Note that F#'s syntax is similar to that of function calls

Errors in Expressions

❖ Causes

- ⇒ Inherent limitations of arithmetic e.g.,
division by zero
- ⇒ Limitations of computer arithmetic e.g.
overflow

❖ Often ignored by the run-time system

Relational and Boolean Expressions

- ❖ **Relational operator** is an operator that compares the values of its two operands
- ❖ **Relational Expressions**
 - ⇒ Use relational operators and operands of various types
 - ⇒ Evaluate to some Boolean representation
 - ⇒ Operator symbols used vary somewhat among languages (`!=`, `/=`, `~=`, `.NE.`, `<>`, `#`)
- ❖ JavaScript and PHP have two additional relational operator, `===` and `!==`
 - Similar to their cousins, `==` and `!=`, except that they do not coerce their operands
 - E.g., `"7" == 7` is true in Javascript but `"7" === 7` is false
 - ⇒ Ruby uses `==` for equality relation operator that uses coercions and `eq?` for those that do not

Relational and Boolean Expressions

❖ Boolean Expressions

- ⇒ Consist of Boolean variables, Boolean constants, relational expressions, and Boolean operators
- ⇒ Operands are Boolean and the result is Boolean

❖ Boolean Operators:

FORTRAN 77	FORTRAN 90	C	Ada
.AND.	and	&&	and
.OR.	or		or
.NOT.	not	!	not

- ❖ C has no Boolean type--it uses `int` type with 0 for false and nonzero for true
- ❖ One odd characteristic of C's expressions: `a < b < c` is a legal expression, but the result is not what you might expect:
 - ⇒ Left operator is evaluated, producing 0 or 1
 - ⇒ The evaluation result is then compared with the third operand (i.e., `c`)

Short Circuit Evaluation

❖ An expression in which the result is determined without evaluating all of the operands and/or operators

❖ Short-circuit evaluation of an expression

⇒ Example: $(13 * a) * (b / 13 - 1)$ If a is zero, there is no need to evaluate $(b / 13 - 1)$

⇒ result is determined without evaluating all the operands & operators

```
int a = -1, b = 4;
if ((a > 0) && (b < 10)) {
    ...
}
```

❖ Problem: suppose Java did not use short-circuit evaluation

```
index = 1;
while (index <= length) && (LIST[index] != value)
    index++;
```

When $index=length$, $LIST[index]$ will cause an indexing problem (assuming $LIST$ is $length - 1$ long)

Short Circuit Evaluation (continued)

- ❖ C, C++, and Java:

- ⇒ use short-circuit evaluation for usual Boolean operators (&& and ||),
- ⇒ also provide bitwise Boolean operators that are not short circuit (& and |)

- ❖ All logic operators in Ruby, Perl, ML, F#, and Python are short-circuit evaluated

- ❖ Short-circuit evaluation exposes the potential problem of side effects in expressions

e.g. `(a > b) || (b++ / 3)`

Assignment Statements

❖ The general syntax

`<target_var> <assign_operator> <expression>`

❖ The assignment operator

= Fortran, BASIC, the C-based languages

:= Ada

❖ = can be bad when it is overloaded for the relational operator for equality (that's why the C-based languages use == as the relational operator)

⇒ e.g. (PL/I) `A = B = C;`

Assignment Statements: Conditional Targets

❖ Conditional targets (Perl)

```
($flag ? $total : $subtotal) = 0
```

Which is equivalent to

```
if ($flag) {  
    $total = 0  
} else {  
    $subtotal = 0  
}
```

Assignment Statements: Compound Assignment Operators

- ❖ A shorthand method of specifying a commonly needed form of assignment
- ❖ Introduced in ALGOL; adopted by C and the C-based languages

⇒ Example

$a = a + b$

can be written as

$a += b$

Assignment Statements: Unary Assignment Operators

❖ Unary assignment operators in C-based languages combine increment and decrement operations with assignment

❖ Examples

`sum = ++count` (count incremented, then assigned to sum)

`sum = count++` (count assigned to sum, then incremented)

`count++` (count incremented)

`-count++` (count incremented then negated)

Assignment as an Expression

- ❖ In the C-based languages, Perl, and JavaScript, the assignment statement produces a result and can be used as an operand

```
while ((ch = getchar()) != EOF) {...}
```

`ch = getchar()` is carried out; the result (assigned to `ch`) is used as a conditional value for the `while` statement

- ❖ Disadvantage: another kind of expression side effect

Assignment Statements

❖ C, C++, and Java treat `=` as an arithmetic binary operator

⇒ e.g. `a = b * (c = d * 2 + 1) + 1`

⇒ This is inherited from ALGOL 68

❖ Exercise: `a=1, b=2, c=3, d=4`

`a = b + (c = d / b++) - 1`

`cout << a << “,” << b << “,” << c << “,” << d << endl`

Multiple Assignments

- ❖ Perl, Ruby, and Lua allow multiple-target multiple-source assignments

```
($first, $second, $third) = (20, 30, 40);
```

Also, the following is legal and performs an interchange:

```
($first, $second) = ($second, $first);
```

- ❖ Multiple targets (PL/I)

- A, B = 10

Assignment in Functional Languages

❖ Identifiers in functional languages are only names of values

❖ ML

⇒ Names are bound to values with **val**

```
val fruit = apples + oranges;
```

- If another **val** for fruit follows, it is a new and different name

❖ F#

⇒ F#'s **let** is like ML's **val**, except **let** also creates a new scope

Mixed-Mode Assignment

- ❖ Assignment statements can also be mixed-mode
- ❖ In Fortran, C, Perl, and C++, any numeric type value can be assigned to any numeric type variable
- ❖ In Java and C#, only widening assignment coercions are done
- ❖ In Ada, there is no assignment coercion

Summary

- ❖ Expressions
- ❖ Operator precedence and associativity
- ❖ Operator overloading
- ❖ Mixed-type expressions
- ❖ Various forms of assignment